Northwest Ports Clean Air Strategy

2013 Update (DRAFT) | June 2013









ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The Port of Seattle, Port of Tacoma, and Port Metro Vancouver jointly developed the **Northwest Ports Clean Air Strategy—2013 Update** with contributions from partner agencies. The ports would like to thank the following agencies for their contributions:

Environment Canada Metro Vancouver Puget Sound Clean Air Agency United State Environmental Protection Agency Washington State Department of Ecology



Stephanie Jones Stebbins 206.787.3818 jonesstebbins.s@portseattle.org www.portseattle.org



Jason Jordan 253.830.5321 jjordan@portoftacoma.com www.portoftacoma.com



Ronan Chester 604.665.9311 ronan.chester@portmetrovancouver.com www.portmetrovancouver.com



Environment Environnement Canada Canada

Richard Holt 604.666.6552 richard.holt@ec.gc.ca www.ec.gc.ca



Dan Brown 503.326.6832 brown.dan@epa.gov www.epa.gov



Frank Van Haren 3360.407.6870 fvan461@ecy.wa.gov www.ecy.wa.gov

Metro Vancouver

Shelina Sidi

604.436.6750 shelina.sidi@metrovancouver.org www.metrovancouver.org pscleanair.org Puget Sound Clean Air Agency

Andrew Green 206.689.4053 andrewg@pscleanair.org www.pscleanair.org

CONTENTS

Acknowledgments	ii
Executive Summary	1
Strategy Overview	5
Airshed Emission-Reduction Goals	8
Performance Targets By Sector	10
1. Ocean-Going Vessels	
2. Harbor Vessels	15
3. Cargo-Handling Equipment	17
4. Trucks	
5. Locomotives and Rail Transport	21
6. Port Administration	
Pilot Studies and Demonstration Projects	25
Performance Reporting	27
Conclusions and Next Steps	28
Glossary	29
Appendix A. Port Emissions Inventories	32
Appendix B. Air Emission Regulations in U.S. and Canada	
Tables	

Tables

Table 2. Emission-Reduction Goals for DPM and GHGs by 2015 and 2020	Table 1. Summary of Actions and Performance Targets by Sector for 2015 and 2020	2
Table 4. Actions and Performance Targets for Harbor Vessels16Table 5. Actions and Performance Targets for Cargo-Handling Equipment18Table 6. Actions and Performance Targets for Trucks20Table 7. Actions and Performance Targets for Locomotives and Rail Transport22Table 8. Actions and Performance Targets for Port Administration, for24Table A-1. Port of Seattle—2011 Emissions Inventory for Puget Sound Airshed (tons)33Table A-2. Port of Seattle—Changes in Port Airshed Emissions from 2005 to 201134Table A-4. Port of Tacoma—2011 Emissions Inventory for Puget Sound Airshed (tons)34Table A-5. Port Metro Vancouver—2010 Emissions Estimates for Lower Fraser Valley Airshed36	Table 2. Emission-Reduction Goals for DPM and GHGs by 2015 and 2020	9
Table 5. Actions and Performance Targets for Cargo-Handling Equipment18Table 6. Actions and Performance Targets for Trucks20Table 7. Actions and Performance Targets for Locomotives and Rail Transport22Table 8. Actions and Performance Targets for Port Administration, for24Table A-1. Port of Seattle—2011 Emissions Inventory for Puget Sound Airshed (tons)33Table A-2. Port of Seattle—Changes in Port Airshed Emissions from 2005 to 201133Table A-3. Port of Tacoma—2011 Emissions Inventory for Puget Sound Airshed (tons)34Table A-4. Port of Tacoma—Changes in Port Airshed Emissions from 2005 to 201134Table A-5. Port Metro Vancouver—2010 Emissions Estimates for Lower Fraser Valley Airshed36	Table 3. Actions and Performance Targets for Ocean-Going Vessels	14
Table 6. Actions and Performance Targets for Trucks20Table 7. Actions and Performance Targets for Locomotives and Rail Transport22Table 8. Actions and Performance Targets for Port Administration, for24Table A-1. Port of Seattle—2011 Emissions Inventory for Puget Sound Airshed (tons)33Table A-2. Port of Seattle—Changes in Port Airshed Emissions from 2005 to 201133Table A-3. Port of Tacoma—2011 Emissions Inventory for Puget Sound Airshed (tons)34Table A-4. Port of Tacoma—Changes in Port Airshed Emissions from 2005 to 201134Table A-5. Port Metro Vancouver—2010 Emissions Estimates for Lower Fraser Valley Airshed36	Table 4. Actions and Performance Targets for Harbor Vessels	16
Table 7. Actions and Performance Targets for Locomotives and Rail Transport22Table 8. Actions and Performance Targets for Port Administration, for24Table A-1. Port of Seattle—2011 Emissions Inventory for Puget Sound Airshed (tons)33Table A-2. Port of Seattle—Changes in Port Airshed Emissions from 2005 to 201133Table A-3. Port of Tacoma—2011 Emissions Inventory for Puget Sound Airshed (tons)34Table A-4. Port of Tacoma—Changes in Port Airshed Emissions from 2005 to 201134Table A-5. Port Metro Vancouver—2010 Emissions Estimates for Lower Fraser Valley Airshed36	Table 5. Actions and Performance Targets for Cargo-Handling Equipment	18
Table 8. Actions and Performance Targets for Port Administration, for24Table A-1. Port of Seattle—2011 Emissions Inventory for Puget Sound Airshed (tons)33Table A-2. Port of Seattle—Changes in Port Airshed Emissions from 2005 to 201133Table A-3. Port of Tacoma—2011 Emissions Inventory for Puget Sound Airshed (tons)34Table A-4. Port of Tacoma—Changes in Port Airshed Emissions from 2005 to 201134Table A-5. Port Metro Vancouver—2010 Emissions Estimates for Lower Fraser Valley Airshed36	Table 6. Actions and Performance Targets for Trucks	20
Table A-1. Port of Seattle—2011 Emissions Inventory for Puget Sound Airshed (tons)	Table 7. Actions and Performance Targets for Locomotives and Rail Transport	22
Table A-2. Port of Seattle—Changes in Port Airshed Emissions from 2005 to 2011	Table 8. Actions and Performance Targets for Port Administration, for	24
Table A-3. Port of Tacoma—2011 Emissions Inventory for Puget Sound Airshed (tons)	Table A-1. Port of Seattle—2011 Emissions Inventory for Puget Sound Airshed (tons)	33
Table A-4. Port of Tacoma—Changes in Port Airshed Emissions from 2005 to 2011	Table A-2. Port of Seattle—Changes in Port Airshed Emissions from 2005 to 2011	33
Table A-5. Port Metro Vancouver-2010 Emissions Estimates for Lower Fraser Valley Airshed36	Table A-3. Port of Tacoma—2011 Emissions Inventory for Puget Sound Airshed (tons)	34
	Table A-4. Port of Tacoma—Changes in Port Airshed Emissions from 2005 to 2011	34
Table B-1. Comparison of Air Emission Regulations in the United States and Canada37	Table A-5. Port Metro Vancouver-2010 Emissions Estimates for Lower Fraser Valley Airshed	36
	Table B-1. Comparison of Air Emission Regulations in the United States and Canada	37

Figures

Figure 1. Georgia Basin-Puget Sound Airshed Boundaries	6
Figure 2. Port-Related DPM and GHG Emissions by Sector from the Three Ports, 2010–2011	11
Figure A-1. Puget Sound Maritime Emissions Inventory Boundaries	32
Figure A-2. Port Metro Vancouver—Emissions Inventory Boundaries	35

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Port of Seattle, Port of Tacoma, and Port Metro Vancouver are continuing their collaborative efforts on the **Northwest Ports Clean Air Strategy** to reduce emissions from shipping and port operations in the Georgia Basin–Puget Sound airshed. In developing and implementing the 2007 Strategy and this 2013 **Strategy Update**, the three ports partnered with government agencies including: Environment Canada and Metro Vancouver in Canada, and the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington State Department of Ecology, and Puget Sound Clean Air Agency in the United States. Collectively, the ports and government agencies are referred to as the Strategy partners.

The voluntary actions in this Strategy Update are intended to complement regulations and, together with the regulations, achieve the following emission reductions relative to a 2005 baseline:

- Reduce diesel particulate matter (DPM) emissions per ton of cargo by 75% by 2015 and 80% by 2020, to decrease immediate and long-term health effects on adjacent communities.
- Reduce greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions per ton of cargo by 10% by 2015 and 15% by 2020, to limit contributions to climate change and reduce associated environmental, health, and economic impacts.

These goals account for changing levels of port activity and focus on increasing efficiency per ton of cargo moved through the ports.

Since adopting the first Strategy in 2007, the Strategy partners have evaluated data and lessons learned from implementation efforts, advances in emission-reduction technology, and changes in the regulatory landscape. This experience to date informs the Strategy Update and its key elements:

- Goals for reducing port-related emissions in the Georgia Basin–Puget Sound airshed.
- Performance targets for 2015 and 2020 for reducing emissions in each covered sector.
- Pilot studies and demonstration projects to assess how new emission-reduction technologies can help meet the emission-reduction goals.

The ports developed inventories of port-related air emissions in 2005 and updated their emissions inventories in 2010 and 2011. The recent inventories show considerable progress in reducing emissions since 2005, and they provide data to identify areas for continued improvement going forward. The emissions inventories and the Strategy Update cover the following sectors of port-related operations:

- 1. Ocean-going vessels
- 2. Harbor vessels
- 3. Cargo-handling equipment

- 4. Trucks
- 5. Locomotives and rail transport
- 6. Port administration

Table 1 summarizes the actions and performance targets in each sector of the Strategy Update as presented in later chapters. Together, these performance targets are designed to achieve the airshed emission-reduction goals by 2015 and 2020. The Strategy partners also committed to conducting pilot studies and demonstration projects to advance innovative approaches to reduce emissions from these sectors.

Sectors and Actions	2015 Targets	2020 Targets	Red DPM	ICES GHG
Ocean-Going Vessels				
OGV-1 Vessels surpass Emission Control Area (ECA) requirements	Early compliance with 2015 ECA 0.1% fuel- sulfur level (or equivalent) while hotelling before 2015	Ports track number of vessels with Tier 3 marine engines, shore power use, cleaner fuel, or other emission- reduction technologies	✓	✓
<i>OGV-2</i> Ports and vessels participate in port-designed or third-party certification programs that promote continuous improvement (such as Environmental Ship Index, Green Marine, Clean Cargo Working Group, or others)	Ports and 10% of vessel calls	Ports and 40% of vessel calls	~	~
Harbor Vessels				
Harbor-1 Strategy partners conduct annual outreach to port-related harbor vessel companies and recognize best practices and engine upgrades	Partners conduct outreach and 50% of harbor vessel companies report best practices and engine upgrades	Partners conduct outreach and 90% of harbor vessel companies report best practices and engine upgrades	~	~
Harbor-2 Ports and harbor vessels participate in port- designed or third-party certification programs that promote continuous improvement (such as Environmental Ship Index, Green Marine, Clean Cargo Working Group, or others)	Ports and 10% of harbor vessels	Ports and 40% of harbor vessels	√	✓

Table 1. Summary of Actions and Performance Targets by Sector for 2015 and 2020

Continued >

Table 1. Summary of Actions and Performance	Targets by Sector for 2015 and 2020 (continued)
---	---

Sectors and Actions	2015 Targets	2020 Targets	Red DPM	uces GHG
Cargo-Handling Equipment				
CHE-1 CHE meets Tier 4 interim (T4i) emission standards or equivalent	50% of equipment	80% of equipment	√	
CHE-2 Ports and terminals have fuel-efficiency plans in place that promote continuous improvement	Ports and 50% of terminals	Ports and 100% of terminals	✓	~
Trucks				
<i>Truck-1</i> Trucks meet or surpass U.S. EPA emission standards or equivalent for model year 2007	80% of trucks	100% of trucks (by 2017)	~	
<i>Truck-2</i> Ports, terminals, and trucks have fuel- efficiency plans in place that promote continuous improvement	Ports	Ports, terminals, and 50% of trucks	~	~
Locomotives			1	
<i>Rail-1</i> Switcher locomotive owners/operators participate in a fuel-efficiency program	100% of owners/ operators institute a program	100% of owners/ operators achieve performance objectives of chosen program	~	~
<i>Rail-2</i> Switcher locomotive owners/operators upgrade or replace unregulated engines (engine replacements will be Tier 2 or better)	10% of unregulated locomotive engines	20% of unregulated locomotive engines	~	~
			Cont	inued >

Port AdministrationAdmin-1Ports own and operate cleaner vehicles and equipment and have fuel-efficiency plans in place that promote continuous improvementPorts report use of cleaner vehicles and equipment and other relevant informationPorts increase use of cleaner vehicles and equipment and other relevant informationImage: Construction standards equipment and other relevant informationAdmin-2Ports apply clean construction standards to engines used on port-led construction projects (such as American Association of Port Authorities, U.S. EPA Best Practices for Clean Diesel Construction, or equivalent best management practices)Ports institute clean construction and Tier 2 engine emission requirementsPorts apply clean construction and Tier 4 engine emission requirementsImage: Construct on the standards port-led projects, including idle reduction and Tier 4 engine emission
Ports own and operate cleaner vehicles and equipment and have fuel-efficiency plans in place that promote continuous improvementPorts report use of cleaner vehicles and equipment and other relevant informationPorts increase use of cleaner vehicles and equipment✓✓Admin-2Ports apply clean construction standards to engines used on port-led construction projects (such as American Association of Port Authorities, U.S. EPA Best Practices for Clean Diesel Construction, or equivalent best management practices)Ports institute to engine emission requirementsPorts apply clean construction best practices for port-led projects, including idle reduction and Tier 2 engine emission requirementsPorts apply clean construction best practices for port-led projects, including idle reduction and Tier 4 engine emission requirements✓
equipment and have fuel-efficiency plans in place that promote continuous improvementuse of cleaner vehicles and equipment and other relevant informationuse of cleaner vehicles and equipment and other relevant informationuse of cleaner vehicles and equipmentAdmin-2Ports apply clean construction standards to engines used on port-led construction projects (such as American Association of Port Authorities, U.S. EPA Best Practices for Clean Diesel Construction, or equivalent best management practices)Ports institute clean construction best practices for port-led projects, including idle- reduction and Tier 2 engine emission requirementsPorts apply clean construction best practices for port-led projects, including idle reduction and TierAdmin-3Image: Admin-3Admin-3
Ports apply clean construction standards to engines used on port-led construction projects (such as American Association of Port Authorities, U.S. EPA Best Practices for Clean Diesel Construction, or equivalent best management practices)Ports institute clean construction best practices for port-led projects, including idle- reduction and Tier 2 engine emission requirementsPorts apply clean construction best practices for port-led projects, including idle- reduction and Tier 4 engine emission requirements✓Admin-3Admin-3
Ports facilitate energy studies and conservation projects at port-operated and/or tenant facilities to identify and address energy conservation opportunities in building systems, operations, and yard lightingEach port conducts 3 energy studiesEach port completes energy studies✓✓VVV<

Table 1. Summary of Actions and Performance Targets by Sector for 2015 and 2020 (continued)